

"Pension saving might be increased by allowing limited early access to funds in specific circumstances" says Pensions Policy Institute

Allowing limited earlier access to money saved in pension schemes under specific circumstances, such as in times of financial hardship, might increase the number of people saving in a pension scheme and the amount they save, according to research published by the Pensions Policy Institute (PPI) today.

The research shows that while allowing pension funds to be withdrawn or borrowed before retirement could lead to an increase in saving in pension funds, income in retirement could be reduced if the option to withdraw or borrow funds is allowed without restrictions, or if people do not increase sufficiently the amount they contribute.

The research, funded by B&CE Benefit Schemes and Legal and General looks at the relative advantages and disadvantages of allowing earlier access to pension funds, either for specific purposes such as in cases of financial hardship or funding a house purchase, or more generally in place of other types of saving or borrowing.

Chris Curry, PPI Research Director, said "There are many different possible ways of allowing access to savings within pension funds, and some are already in use internationally. The 401(k) system of loans is well established in the US, and evidence suggests that this early access increases both the number of people saving in 401(k)s and the amount they save, even though only around 20% of people make use of the early access facility each year.

If a similar system were introduced in the UK, this could increase aggregate pension savings by around 30% by 2050. However, if people in the UK didn't increase their contributions, or didn't repay their loans, then pension funds could be 7% lower. "

John Jory, Deputy Chief Executive of B&CE Benefit Schemes said "B&CE believes everyone deserves the best retirement they can afford and we will continue to campaign for removal of the barriers that discourage those on low to moderate earnings from achieving this. Our experience suggests that introducing early access options could make a big difference to the numbers of people who save for their retirement."

Adrian Boulding, Wealth Policy Director of Legal & General said "Allowing early access will be another step along the journey of putting the consumer in control of their own financial destiny."

ENDS





A summary of conclusions from the report follows on the next pages.

For further information please contact -

Chris Curry, Research Director of the PPI on 020 7848 3731 or 07970 254 940

email: chris@pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk

Martin Campbell, Beacon Strategic Communications: 07802 634695

email: martin@beaconstrategic.com

The report can be downloaded from www.pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk

Notes for editors

The information contained in this press release is intended solely for journalists and should not be relied upon by private investors or any other persons to make financial decisions.

The PPI is an independent research organisation, focused on pension provision. Its aim is to improve information and understanding about pensions (state and private) through research and analysis, discussion and publication. It does not lobby for any particular issue, but works to make the pension policy debate better informed.

About B&CE Benefit Schemes

B&CE is the largest supplier of financial services and employee benefits to the UK's construction industry. Formed in 1942, B&CE operates on a not-for-profit basis.

- Almost 7,000 employers use B&CE to provide employee benefits.
- B&CE provide the UK's most popular stakeholder pension with almost 500,000 members.
- The B&CE board is made up of six trade union members and six employer representatives.

About Legal & General

The Legal & General Group, established in 1836, is one of the UK's leading financial services companies. We are one of the UK's top 50 companies in the FTSE 100 Index. Over 5.8 million people rely on us for life assurance, pensions, investments and general insurance plans. The Legal & General Group is responsible for investing over £304 billion worldwide (as at 30 June 2008) on behalf of investors, policyholders and institutions.

Legal & General Assurance Society Limited Registered in England No. 166055 Registered Address: One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority





PRESS RELEASE EMBARGOED TO 00.01 Tuesday 18 November 2008



Would allowing early access to pension savings increase retirement incomes? Summary of conclusions

The Government is reforming the pension system in an effort to increase the number of people in the UK saving in a pension. Some stakeholders have suggested that allowing early access to pension savings, for example, for first-home purchase or in situations of financial hardship, could further increase the number of people saving into a pension fund and the total amount saved.

The arguments for and against allowing early access to pension savings centre around a trade-off between making pension saving more attractive to encourage greater saving levels, but discouraging excessive access which could leave less money available to provide an income in retirement.

A) Advantages and disadvantages of early access

Permitting early access to pension saving could appeal to women, men and women on low incomes and younger people, who are amongst the groups most at risk of not saving enough to provide themselves with an income in retirement that they would consider adequate. Permitting early access to pension savings may encourage more people to save in pension funds and may encourage people to contribute higher percentages of their income.

Early access has the potential to reduce individual's income in retirement, depending on:

- Whether the funds accessed are taken as a loan or a withdrawal;
- The timing of any withdrawal or loan taken;
- Whether, if the funds are taken as a loan, individuals continue to contribute to their pension fund whilst repaying their loans;
- Whether individuals contribute greater percentages of their income to their pension fund as a result of being allowed early access to their pension fund.

However, permitting early access to pension funds could also increase the scope for tax avoidance and generate greater complexity in pension fund administration which could lead to higher management charges.

This paper examines the potential effects of four different policy models of early access to pension savings:



- 1) The 'loans and withdrawals' model is based on the 401(k) model of early access to pension savings that is used in the US. In the 'loans and withdrawals' model people are permitted to take loans from their own pension funds, which they must then pay back with interest. In cases of hardship they can also take permanent withdrawals from their pension funds.
- 2) The 'permanent withdrawals' model is based on the KiwiSaver model of early access to pension savings that is used in New Zealand. In the 'permanent withdrawals' model people can withdraw funds permanently under certain circumstances with no obligation to repay.
- 3) The 'feeder funds' model is a combination of a pension fund and an individual savings account. Any contributions a saver makes to their feeder fund go first into the liquid/savings element of the account and when that reaches a fixed limit any subsequent contributions divert into the pension fund. Therefore people saving into a pension fund also have access to a certain amount of liquid savings.
- 4) The 'early access to lump sums' model permits early access to 25% of people's pension pot at any age if the pot size is above a pre-set floor amount and below a pre-set ceiling amount. This model is based on the existing provision for people to access 25% of their pension savings tax free from the age of 50 (55 after 2010).

B) Allowing early access and pension policy

The most appropriate policy option to adopt will depend on what is the Government's policy objective. If the policy objective is to increase the amount that individuals save for retirement, then allowing loans might be the most appropriate choice as it seems to offer the greatest scope for a positive impact on individual's retirement income.

If the policy objective is to minimise the potential reduction in the value of individual pension funds, then allowing loans, feeder funds or early access to lump sums seems to have less potential for reduction in individual pension fund size than allowing permanent withdrawals does.

Overall, whilst allowing loans has slightly more potential for increasing individual pension pot sizes than allowing feeder funds or early access to lump sums, if people do not repay their loans then allowing loans could put individual's pension funds at risk.

Allowing early access to pension saving could increase or decrease the aggregate size of pension funds under management in the UK. The overall effect will depend on the extent to which allowing early access encourages individuals to save more and the extent to which individuals actually exercise their right to withdraw funds early. A 'loans and withdrawals' model seems to offer greater scope for a positive overall impact on levels of pension saving than a model which permits permanent withdrawals only.

PRESS RELEASE EMBARGOED TO 00.01 Tuesday 18 November 2008



It has not been possible to model the aggregate impact of allowing feeder funds or early access to lump sums models on the total size of pension funds due to the lack of data on how individuals may respond to these policies.

There are a few policy options for potentially mitigating the reduction on savings levels that early access could cause:

- A minimum, mandatory contribution level of 1% above the standard rate could be required from people who wish to use early access options (i.e. 5% minimum employee contribution rather than the 4% that will be required for those auto-enrolled into pension saving after 2012). There could be a condition that people pay the increased contribution for a period of at least five years before they access their pension fund.
- Conditions for withdrawals, or a minimum length of contributions to qualify for access.
- The setting up of an advice system able to inform people of the risks posed by early access.
- A system for ensuring the majority of loans are repaid, if the 'loans and withdrawals' model is adopted.
- A penalty tax on withdrawals or limiting withdrawal conditions to severe financial hardship.