# PENSIONS POLICY INSTITUTE

Policies for increasing long-term saving of the self-employed: additional results

This work has been sponsored by Old Mutual Wealth



An annex by Tim Pike and Silene Capparotto to the PPI report Policies for increasing the long-term saving of the self-employed

Published by the Pensions Policy Institute © February 2018 978-1-906284-61-9

# <u>Policies for increasing long-term saving of the self-employed: additional results</u>

Introduction	1
Chapter one: the clustering of the self-employed population	3
Chapter two: comparing the self-employed population to the automatic	
enrolment thresholds	14
Acknowledgements and Contact Details	18
References	19

# **Introduction**

## **Background**

This is an annex to the PPI report *Policies for increasing long-term saving of the self-employed*. It details additional results that emerged from the analysis of the self-employed population.

This annex does not provide a commentary or context to these results, which is contained in the main report. This annex details findings that relate to significant areas within the main report that have been highlighted as areas of particular interest to stakeholders. These are:

- The cluster analysis of the self-employed population within the Wealth and Assets Survey (WAS) dataset<sup>2</sup>
- The analysis of the number of self-employed people who would meet the criteria for automatic enrolment
  - > Aged from 22 to State Pension age (SPa)
  - Earning at least £10,000 per year

The main report aimed to improve the evidence base for policy discussion of the self-employed and pension saving. This was particularly relevant to the 2017 Automatic Enrolment Review announced on 12<sup>th</sup> December 2016 which this report informed, providing evidence to the review's initial question:<sup>3</sup>

How can self-employed people be encouraged and enabled to save more for later life/ for retirement?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PPI (2017)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ONS (2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GOV.UK (2017)

## The quantitative analysis approach

This paper details additional analysis performed in the course of the work including investigation of three key datasets:

## Wealth and Assets Survey (WAS) - wave 4, 2012/2014

(The most accurate dataset including data upon accumulated wealth)

#### Labour Force Survey (LFS) - to Q1 20175

(Most up to date dataset, at the time of the analysis)

#### Family Resource Survey (FRS) - 2015/20166

(Most recent dataset with reported income of the self-employed)

Different expectations of retirement income and savings beliefs were analysed by performing cluster analysis on the self-employed around key characteristics including savings, income and housing tenure.

The annex includes details upon the aggregate savings levels across the selfemployed population and the saving gap that has developed between them and their employed peers, in particular in relation to an adequate retirement income.

<sup>4</sup> ONS (2016)

<sup>5</sup> ONS (2017)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> DWP, NatCen, ONS (2017)

# Chapter one: the clustering of the self-employed population

This Chapter details the clustering analysis performed upon the Wealth and Assets Survey (WAS), Wave 4, dataset. This was used to understand the evolving self-employed labour market to describe who they are, what they look like and what their attitudes are. By grouping them around key characteristics, a more detailed understanding of their situation and needs can be developed.

To reflect the varying stages of both career trajectory and long-term savings accumulation over a working lifetime, the self-employed have been broken down by generation prior to performing the clustering.

#### The clustering process

#### An overview of cluster analysis

The cluster analysis or clustering is the task of grouping a set of objects in such a way that objects in the same group (called a cluster) are more similar to each other than to those in other groups. It is a main task of exploratory data mining, and a common technique for statistical data analysis, used in many field.

Cluster analysis itself is not one specific algorithm, but the general task to be solved. It can be achieved by various algorithms that differ significantly in their notion of what constitutes a cluster and how to efficiently find them. In this report, this has been performed by the TwoStep cluster analysis using SPSS.

#### The clustering algorithm employed

The TwoStep Cluster Analysis procedure is an exploratory tool designed to reveal natural groupings (or clusters) within a dataset that would otherwise not be apparent. The algorithm employed by this procedure has several desirable features that differentiate it from traditional clustering techniques:<sup>7</sup>

- Handling of categorical and continuous variables by assuming variables to be independent, a joint multinomial-normal distribution can be placed on categorical and continuous variables.
- Automatic selection of number of clusters by comparing the values of a model-choice criterion across different clustering solutions, the procedure can automatically determine the optimal number of clusters.
- Scalability by constructing a cluster features (CF) tree that summarizes the records, the TwoStep algorithm allows you to analyse large data files.

The distance measure used to determine how the similarity between two clusters is computed is the log-likelihood. The likelihood measure places a probability distribution on the variables. Continuous variables are assumed to be normally distributed, while categorical variables are assumed to be multinomial. All variables are assumed to be independent.

<sup>7</sup> IBM Knowledge Center

3

#### **Number of Clusters**

This algorithm automatically determines the "best" number of clusters, using the criterion specified in the clustering criterion group. The clustering criterion is an automatic clustering algorithm which determines the number of clusters. For this cluster analysis, the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) has been used.

The clustering is a subjective analysis and there is no "right" answer, but more reasonable ones in relation to specific criterions. There has been various iterations through combinations to improve the clustering and refine the significance of the variables.

#### The clustering results

#### Overview of trends

The population has been segmented by generation and gender prior to clustering. The evolution between generations has guided the clusters, particularly in relation to housing tenure.

Younger people are more likely to rent the place where they live (37% of Millennials), whereas a few years later they are able to pay a mortgage (68% of Generation X), and at older ages they own a property (56% of Baby Boomers and 78% of Silent Generation).

#### Box 1.1: Key trends from the self-employed clusterings

#### **Millennials**

- They don't believe they are saving enough;
- The married are more likely to expect support from their spouse;
- Lower wealth clusters expect to be more reliant on the State;
- ➤ Low levels of pension saving, where it exists, is dominated by occupational pensions;
- Those who are single and classified as owners may still live with their parents and the property in question belongs to them.

#### Generation X

- Those with higher wealth levels, married, owners of their property and part-time workers typically don't expect to rely on private pension income;
- Those with property expect it to generate income;
- Only small segments have pension wealth.

#### **Baby Boomers**

- Part-time workers typically have more pension wealth than their fulltime counterparts and may rely on their partner;
- Pension wealth dominated in the wealthiest groups;
- Those with mortgages are more likely to expect their property to form a larger part of their retirement income.

#### **Detailed results: Millennials**

The Millennial generation has been clustered into four (men) and five (women) groups. The number of men represented within the clusters is greater with a large number of Millennials entering self-employment, often into construction industries. The key metrics used in the clustering process have resulted in groups of have and have nots which exposes their differing attitudes to retirement income and current saving [Tables 1.1a-c].

Table 1.1a: Millennial clustering, core variables

			Millennials, male Millennials, female								
Cluster	Cluster	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	
analysis	People in the cluster	194,000	82,000	67,000	161,000	59,000	23,000	47,000	71,000	42,000	
	Housing costs	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Paying a mortgage	Rent and other	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Paying a mortgage	Rent and other	Rent and other	
	Single or married	Single	Single	Single	Single	Married	Single	Single	Single	Married	
	Full-time or part-time	Full-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Full-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time	
	Currently contributing to a private pension	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
	Property wealth	£84,000	£275,000	£80,000	£0	£60,000	£225,000	£59,000	£0	£0	
	Pension value	£19,700	£531,300	£11,200	£0	£6,500	£73,000	£7,600	£3,200	£0	
	Other wealth	£44,900	£132,000	£34,400	£29,100	£47,500	£56,700	£29,200	£17,100	£20,000	
Median	Total property wealth	£84,000	£275,000	£80,000	£0	£60,000	£225,000	£59,000	£0	£0	
Wealth	Total household pension value	£19,700	£531,300	£11,200	£0	£6,500	£73,000	£7,600	£3,200	£0	
	Household net financial wealth	£5,200	£62,900	£1,100	£700	£900	£6,700	£1,100	£100	£100	
	Total physical wealth	£40,500	£52,500	£31,100	£28,500	£48,000	£50,000	£39,700	£17,000	£29,000	
	Total household wealth	£165,900	£866,300	£181,700	£36,100	£144,500	£728,300	£136,800	£32,100	£51,900	
Hours	0 - 10 hours			23%		16%	8%	7%	7%	13%	
worked per	11 - 30 hours	6%	3%	63%	8%	30%	24%	57%	41%	63%	
week	31 - 40 hours	45%	33%		46%	24%	56%	18%	41%	7%	
	40+ hours	50%	64%	14%	46%	30%	11%	17%	11%	16%	
Years in self-	0 - 5 years	55%	94%	93%	74%	88%	82%	92%	90%	87%	
employment	6 - 10 years	36%	6%		13%	10%	18%	8%	5%	13%	
	10+ years	10%		7%	13%	2%			5%		
Highest	Degree-level or above	19%	33%	12%	20%	54%	52%	40%	28%	41%	
qualification	Another qualification	81%	67%	88%	80%	46%	48%	60%	72%	59%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> PPI (2017)

Table 1.1b: Millennial clustering, retirement saving attitudes

	viiiieiiiiai eiuoteiiiig/ ietiieiiie	Millennials, male Millennials, female									
Cluster		Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	
Safest way	Pension scheme	23%	29%	11%	9%	43%	19%	35%	55%	30%	
to save for	Property	55%	47%	48%	61%	32%	24%	45%	24%	29%	
retirement	Financial saving	17%	14%	41%	23%	21%	38%	18%	14%	28%	
	Other (includes does not know)	5%	10%		8%	4%	19%	2%	7%	14%	
Which will	Pension scheme	13%	34%	2%	1%	35%	31%	21%	23%	21%	
make the	Property	68%	54%	65%	72%	38%	35%	37%	52%	38%	
most of your	Financial saving	14%	2%	34%	17%	18%	15%	42%	23%	21%	
money	Other (includes does not know)	3%	10%		9%	5%	19%	5%		27%	
Expected	State Pension	69%	28%	69%	66%	77%	50%	72%	69%	51%	
sources of	Private pension	25%	31%	46%	21%	35%	4%	39%	35%	23%	
retirement	Savings	52%	30%	47%	32%	59%	27%	39%	20%	40%	
income	From main residence	14%	2%	17%	18%	33%	16%	36%	13%	4%	
	From another property	26%	10%	0%	21%	27%		14%	12%	4%	
	From business	10%	22%	14%	12%	15%		25%	11%	5%	
	From family / partner etc.	22%	29%	17%	10%	42%	27%	45%	22%	41%	
	Other (includes work, benefits, other savings)	16%	43%	41%	36%	22%	46%	18%	42%	29%	
Largest part	State Pension	10%	3%	6%	29%	12%		8%	38%	32%	
of income	Private pension	22%	39%	9%	12%	11%		4%	22%		
during	Savings	26%	1%	21%	16%	10%		15%	7%	10%	
retirement	From main residence	3%		20%	12%	16%	61%	13%	6%	6%	
	From another property	13%	15%		11%	19%		3%	13%	4%	
	From business	7%	22%		5%	3%		25%	5%		
	From family / partner etc.	12%	20%	27%	3%	27%	39%	32%	9%	31%	
	Other (includes work, benefits, other savings)	6%		18%	13%	3%				16%	
Saving	Yes	15%	8%		6%	12%		1%	6%		
enough for	No	73%	88%	82%	75%	88%	81%	85%	90%	100%	
retirement	Don't know	12%	5%	18%	20%	0%	19%	14%	4%	0%	

Table 1.1c: Millennial clustering, motives for current savings

	<u> </u>		Millenni	als, male		Millennials, female							
Cluster		Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5			
Reason for saving	For unexpected expenditures or rainy day	47%	53%	62%	65%	74%	21%	69%	26%	58%			
	For other family members (including for gifts or inheritance)	9%		20%		14%		23%					
	To provide a regular income over the next 12 months	4%			5%			6%	2%	16%			
	To provide income for retirement	10%			4%	6%		11%					
	To cover a planned expense in the future	46%	39%		7%	40%		18%	9%	20%			
	For a deposit to buy property	6%	28%	5%	25%	10%		20%	43%	18%			
	For holidays or other leisure recreation	36%	30%	29%	32%	66%	79%	44%	30%	68%			
	To see my money grow or good interest rates or speculation	10%	24%			14%		18%	2%	17%			
	Do not, spend all of income	5%	19%	17%	14%	24%			2%	11%			
	Other	11%			17%			12%	10%	11%			
Reason for	Want to pay off debts first	23%	11%	4%	39%		3%	26%	15%	14%			
not saving	Have not thought about it	14%	37%	3%	12%	1%	13%	4%	13%	24%			
	Do not need to save	4%						3%					
	Too late to start saving												
	Would lose out on benefits			4%									
	Have an offset mortgage												
	Cannot afford to	57%	63%	100%	52%	99%	62%	91%	78%	74%			
	Intended to, but debts too high	8%	·		·		22%	16%	9%				
	Other	6%	19%		4%			·		11%			
	Do not know	4%											

- The segments with access to the greatest wealth (cluster 2, men and women) are less likely to believe that property is either the safest way or makes the most of their money when saving for retirement. They are less likely to list the State Pension as a potential source of retirement income, potentially demonstrating a greater independence.
- The segments of men with the least accumulated wealth (cluster 4) do not believe in pensions and tend to be prevented from saving by not having the money available. While many expect their income in retirement to largely come from the State, a higher proportion than any other cluster expect to take the largest part of their income from property.
- Women tend to hold a higher level of qualification than men and are more likely to believe in pensions than men.
- Gender roles are becoming apparent for women, including married women working reduced hours who are expecting to receive retirement income support from a spouse or partner (cluster 5).

#### Detailed results: Generation X

Generation X has been clustered into seven (men) and six (women) groups. The greater number of clusters reflects the greater variation within the generation. This stems from the more complex histories individuals have to get to their current state. Key metrics used in the clustering process have resulted in groups of have and have nots which exposes their differing attitudes to retirement income and current saving [Tables 1.2a-c].

Table 1.2a: Generation X clustering, core variables

	3			Gene	eration X,	male					Generatio	n X, femal	e	
Cluster	Cluster	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6	Cluster 7	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6
analysis	People in the cluster	135,000	14,000	116,000	104,000	297,000	113,000	178,000	117,000	63,000	58,000	81,000	58,000	108,000
	Housing costs	Paying a mortgage	Paying a mortgage	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Paying a mortgage	Paying a mortgage	Rent and other	Rent and other	Paying a mortgage	Paying a mortgage	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Paying a mortgage
	Single or married	Married	Single	Married	Married	Married	Single	Single	Single	Married	Single	Married	Married	Married
	Full-time or part-time	Full-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Full-time	Full-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Full-time	Full-time	Part-time	Part-time
	Currently contributing to a private pension	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
	Property wealth	£120,000	£570,000	£48,000	£250,000	£100,000	£76,000	£0	£0	£160,000	£60,000	£131,000	£390,000	£203,000
	Pension value	£45,900	£230,000	£14,100	£71,300	£34,800	£11,800	£700	£1,700	£103,500	£33,000	£82,600	£132,200	£73,800
	Other wealth	£76,500	£353,900	£35,200	£100,500	£60,600	£38,200	£21,200	£38,100	£83,700	£45,400	£61,200	£120,000	£94,900
Median	Total property wealth	£120,000	£570,000	£48,000	£250,000	£100,000	£76,000	£0	£0	£160,000	£60,000	£131,000	£390,000	£203,000
Wealth	Total household pension value	£45,900	£230,000	£14,100	£71,300	£34,800	£11,800	£700	£1,700	£103,500	£33,000	£82,600	£132,200	£73,800
	Household net financial wealth	£7,200	£35,500	£500	£55,000	£3,200	£1,000	£100	£1,000	£16,900	£5,000	£3,400	£45,100	£16,500
	Total physical wealth	£52,000	£132,800	£37,000	£50,000	£52,000	£38,000	£18,000	£27,100	£66,700	£44,500	£55,500	£57,600	£68,100
	Total household wealth	£292,700	£1,823,400	£156,900	£541,300	£227,100	£150,100	£28,800	£46,900	£415,100	£150,100	£350,500	£728,000	£406,600
Hours worked	0 - 10 hours		13%	24%	1%	1%	1%		19%	13%	8%		13%	45%
per week	11 - 30 hours	5%	12%	74%	13%	5%	7%	17%	42%	25%	45%	17%	41%	51%
	31 - 40 hours	31%	37%		44%	38%	50%	33%	19%	32%	27%	45%	15%	
	40+ hours	64%	38%	3%	43%	57%	42%	51%	20%	29%	21%	38%	31%	4%
Years in self-	0 - 5 years	44%	28%	60%	35%	59%	55%	55%	73%	33%	58%	60%	18%	63%
employment	6 - 10 years	2%	41%	22%	24%	20%	17%	26%	21%	21%	20%	30%	18%	8%
	10+ years	54%	31%	17%	41%	22%	28%	18%	6%	46%	22%	10%	63%	29%
Highest	Degree-level or above	21%	42%	33%	36%	35%	22%	26%	41%	40%	28%	41%	58%	50%
qualification	Another qualification	79%	58%	67%	64%	65%	78%	74%	59%	60%	72%	59%	42%	50%

Table 1.2b: Generation X clustering, retirement saving attitudes

	deneration of clastering, rememer		,		eration X,	male					Generatio	n X, female		
Cluster		Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6	Cluster 7	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6
Safest way to	Pension scheme	43%	38%	26%	31%	26%	12%	23%	26%	40%	16%	24%	21%	28%
save for	Property	44%	35%	48%	40%	54%	60%	36%	35%	32%	47%	38%	39%	47%
retirement	Financial saving	11%	22%	15%	23%	15%	21%	28%	32%	21%	35%	35%	39%	14%
	Other (includes does not know)	2%	6%	10%	5%	4%	6%	13%	7%	7%	2%	4%	2%	10%
Which will	Pension scheme	29%	38%	29%	26%	13%	9%	10%	15%	31%	14%	15%	10%	18%
make the	Property	56%	33%	47%	41%	68%	59%	57%	52%	39%	59%	47%	52%	61%
most of your	Financial saving	14%	29%	11%	25%	14%	22%	22%	24%	25%	25%	30%	36%	16%
money	Other (includes does not know)	2%		14%	8%	4%	10%	11%	9%	5%	2%	8%	2%	5%
Expected	State Pension	92%	84%	68%	83%	75%	73%	75%	76%	86%	79%	89%	63%	78%
sources of	Private pension	90%	86%	30%	41%	39%	33%	27%	33%	89%	33%	34%	49%	50%
retirement	Savings	42%	75%	26%	51%	36%	30%	26%	30%	52%	22%	31%	48%	54%
income	From main residence	26%	57%	28%	39%	36%	18%	7%	10%	29%	35%	34%	45%	45%
	From another property	16%	22%	10%	20%	17%	27%	5%	6%	21%	17%	9%	19%	15%
	From business	20%	35%	10%	17%	20%	30%	19%	14%	30%	10%	32%	13%	20%
	From family / partner etc.	33%	7%	22%	27%	23%	16%	25%	31%	38%	26%	32%	44%	56%
	Other (includes work, benefits, other savings)	24%	13%	44%	35%	32%	13%	41%	31%	33%	34%	15%	20%	19%
Largest part	State Pension	19%		30%	24%	17%	27%	24%	40%	17%	18%	14%	5%	9%
of income	Private pension	36%	36%	16%	20%	14%	14%	15%	14%	31%	1%	15%	14%	23%
during	Savings	9%	38%	9%	18%	15%	8%	13%	9%	8%	8%	11%	11%	14%
retirement	From main residence	15%		19%	9%	18%	5%	1%	5%	7%	16%	15%	9%	15%
	From another property	7%	14%	5%	6%	12%	26%	8%	5%	9%	15%	6%	7%	9%
	From business	2%		5%	5%	11%	8%	8%	3%	9%	11%	17%	11%	4%
	From family / partner etc.	7%		1%	13%	10%	8%	13%	15%	12%	6%	15%	39%	25%
	Other (includes work, benefits, other savings)	4%	13%	15%	5%	2%	4%	18%	9%	7%	25%	6%	5%	2%
Saving	Yes	30%	44%	12%	25%	14%	27%	4%	3%	26%	13%	12%	15%	19%
enough for	No	62%	47%	80%	69%	79%	72%	86%	94%	58%	85%	80%	80%	78%
retirement	Don't know	8%	9%	8%	6%	7%	2%	10%	2%	16%	2%	9%	5%	4%

Table 1.2c: Generation X clustering, motives for current savings

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				eration X,	male				(	Generation	n X, femal	e	
Cluster		Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6	Cluster 7	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6
	For unexpected expenditures or rainy day	62%	55%	52%	81%	53%	74%	34%	62%	72%	65%	73%	63%	57%
	For other family members (including for gifts or inheritance)	5%	5%	13%	14%	15%	17%	12%	9%	25%	10%	15%	18%	24%
	To provide a regular income over the next 12 months	7%		3%	11%	10%	17%		21%	8%		17%	12%	11%
	To provide income for retirement	21%	77%	7%	42%	23%	21%	4%	28%	25%	23%	5%	23%	17%
Reason for saving	To cover a planned expense in the future	22%	33%	26%	34%	42%	17%	24%	17%	39%	18%	21%	48%	34%
	For a deposit to buy property	11%		25%	5%	15%	10%	14%	10%	12%	14%	5%	0%	9%
	For holidays or other leisure recreation	57%	33%	25%	29%	54%	34%	58%	30%	64%	23%	48%	54%	50%
	To see my money grow or good interest rates or speculation	4%	5%	6%	28%	6%	22%	3%	10%	15%	4%	5%	8%	4%
	Do not, spend all of income	6%	5%	3%	18%	8%	6%	23%	7%	2%	4%	5%	6%	2%
	Other	2%	28%	13%	2%	6%		10%	18%	1%	8%	11%		2%
	Want to pay off debts first	36%	8%	5%	22%	29%	22%	21%	18%	18%	32%	28%		16%
	Have not thought about it	9%		2%	2%	4%	7%	3%	7%	7%		7%		8%
	Do not need to save	4%	32%		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%			10%		7%
	Too late to start saving				13%		3%							
Reason for not	Would lose out on benefits			3%				1%						
saving	Have an offset mortgage					3%				4%		2%		1%
	Cannot afford to	48%	60%	86%	78%	75%	66%	74%	71%	72%	87%	63%	82%	61%
	Intended to, but debts too high	14%		5%	11%	8%	3%	15%	2%	15%	4%	12%		
	Other	21%	11%	5%	2%	7%	15%	11%	5%	14%	7%	7%	18%	22%
	Do not know			2%					7%					

- The wealthiest men (cluster 2) are more likely to rely upon financial savings alongside pensions in retirement. However, this does not represent a large number of the self-employed population.
- The largest cluster of men (cluster 5) have been self-employed for less time than average (59% have been self-employed for no more than five years).
- Women, particularly married women in more affluent clusters, are more likely to depend upon a spouse for retirement income.
- Clusters of women working part-time tend to be wealthier. This implies that working part-time is more likely to be available as a matter of choice without financial pressure.

## **Detailed results: Baby Boomers**

Baby Boomers has been clustered into seven (men) and nine (women) groups. The greater number of clusters reflects the greater variation within the generation. [Tables 1.3a-c].

Table 1.3a: Baby Boomer clustering, core variables

	by Boomer crusters				Boomers,	male						Baby l	Boomers, f	emale			
Cluster	Cluster	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6	Cluster 7	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6	Cluster 7	Cluster 8	Cluster 9
analysis	People in the cluster	234,000	32,000	196,000	251,000	193,000	238,000	200,000	86,000	43,000	67,000	73,000	13,000	54,000	87,000	147,000	70,000
	Housing costs	Own it	Own it	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Rent and other	Rent and other	Own it	Paying a mortgage	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Paying a mortgage	Own it	Own it	Own it
	Single or married	Married	Married	Married	Married	Married	Single		Single	Married	Single	Married	Married	Married	Married	Married	Single
	Full-time or part-time	Part-time	Part-time	Full-time	Full-time	Full-time	Full-time	Full-time	Part-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time	Part-time	Full-time	Full-time	Part-time	Part-time
	Currently contributing	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	to a private pension																ĺ
	Property wealth	£255,000	£1,366,000	£308,000	£275,000	£187,000	£190,000	£0	£0	£300,000	£155,000	£302,000	£2,320,000	£200,000	£349,000	£383,000	£340,000
	Pension value	£236,500	£375,000	£178,500	£138,500	£88,500	£80,800	£2,800	£0	£198,700	£35,000	£220,600	£1,796,800	£245,500	£183,400	£266,500	£109,600
	Other wealth	£118,000	£1,639,400	£142,000	£122,600	£82,100	£76,500	£18,100	£25,200	£149,900	£46,100	£115,800	£1,172,900	£68,000	£124,600	£213,700	£123,700
Median	Total property wealth	£255,000	£1,366,000	£308,000	£275,000	£187,000	£190,000	£0	£0	£300,000	£155,000	£302,000	£2,320,000	£200,000	£349,000	£383,000	£340,000
Wealth	Total household	£236,500	£375,000	£178,500	£138,500	£88,500	£80,800	£2,800	£0	£198,700	£35,000	£220,600	£1,796,800	£245,500	£183,400	£266,500	£109,600
	pension value																
	Household net	£44,800	£1,433,000	£42,800	£48,900	£8,600	£23,600	£300	£200	£82,400	£400	£24,600	£992,400	£3,800	£40,900	£128,400	£46,200
	financial wealth																
	Total physical wealth	£57,500	£203,500	£68,000	£58,000	£53,000	£47,100	£16,800	£25,000	£66,500	£39,700	£63,600	£335,200	£64,000	£66,000	£67,500	£56,000
	Total household wealth	£748,400	£4,307,100	£728,200	£650,200	£410,400	£452,700	£47,300	£44,800	£723,100	£295,900	£663,000	£6,707,000	£495,400	£739,900	£1,109,500	£730,800
Hours worked	0 - 10 hours	29%	12%				9%		9%	15%	3%	18%	6%	0%	2%	50%	35%
per week	11 - 30 hours	59%	48%	14%	15%	9%	25%	11%	53%	43%	27%	73%	42%	18%	8%	42%	34%
	31 - 40 hours	3%	6%	33%	44%	45%	30%	42%	4%	21%	26%	5%	23%	33%	63%		16%
	40+ hours	9%	34%	52%	40%	47%	37%	47%	33%	21%	43%	4%	29%	49%	27%	8%	15%
Years in self-	0 - 5 years	46%	43%	20%	23%	37%	26%	54%	37%	14%	46%	49%	100%	44%	64%	29%	53%
employment	6 - 10 years	18%	4%	5%	9%	6%	15%	9%			4%	17%		17%		24%	8%
	10+ years	36%	54%	76%	68%	56%	58%	36%	63%	86%	51%	34%		39%	36%	47%	38%
Highest	Degree-level or above	47%	54%	38%	32%	32%	29%	28%	35%	41%	33%	44%	67%	20%	28%	46%	52%
qualification	Another qualification	53%	46%	62%	68%	68%	71%	72%	65%	59%	67%	56%	33%	80%	72%	54%	48%

11

Table 1.3b: Baby Boomer clustering, retirement saving attitudes

1 abic 1.55. D	aby boomer clustering	S, ICtil	ciricit		Boomers				Baby Boomers, female								
Safest way to	Pension scheme	34%	35%	28%	32%	29%	29%	23%	24%	22%	37%	42%	47%	45%	19%	24%	38%
save for		39%	54%	47%	32% 47%	42%	47%	34%	34%	57%	44%	34%	47 %	37%	41%	45%	39%
retirement	Property	18%	9%	19%	17%	20%	17%	26%	34 % 18 %	16%	13%	16%	45 % 8 %	12%	33%	25%	22%
retirement	Financial saving	18%	9%	19%	17 %	20%	17 %	26%	18%	16%	13%	16%	8%	12%	33%	25%	22 %
	Other (includes does not know)	8%	1%	6%	4%	9%	6%	16%	24%	5%	6%	8%		7%	7%	6%	1%
Which will	Pension scheme	21%	33%	17%	24%	20%	20%	19%	16%	5%	28%	25%	28%	22%	16%	13%	20%
make the most	Property	51%	55%	53%	45%	55%	55%	42%	33%	66%	48%	41%	45%	53%	56%	61%	43%
of your money	Financial saving	19%	10%	25%	25%	15%	21%	23%	29%	29%	18%	26%	27%	17%	28%	22%	19%
	Other (includes does not know)	9%	1%	5%	6%	11%	4%	16%	22%	1%	6%	9%		8%		4%	19%
Expected	State Pension	91%	83%	95%	91%	82%	88%	82%	88%	96%	87%	74%	94%	90%	96%	90%	99%
sources of	Private pension	62%	73%	94%	57%	51%	64%	28%	17%	86%	41%	47%	88%	39%	35%	49%	60%
retirement	Savings	48%	79%	52%	44%	35%	46%	14%	18%	67%	27%	44%	70%	22%	48%	53%	72%
income	From main residence	21%	9%	37%	25%	43%	31%	7%	7%	45%	59%	57%	34%	54%	48%	24%	31%
	From another property	11%	40%	25%	10%	22%	11%	3%	4%	30%	7%	23%	13%	23%	18%	18%	21%
	From business	21%	50%	28%	19%	19%	17%	9%	17%	14%	10%	8%	5%	20%	11%	15%	14%
	From family / partner etc.	19%	20%	26%	15%	21%	14%	7%	16%	34%	20%	35%	8%	23%	28%	32%	22%
	Other (includes work, benefits, other savings)	31%	13%	29%	23%	28%	29%	32%	25%	28%	33%	23%	5%	12%	13%	36%	26%
Largest part of	State Pension	25%	2%	21%	30%	14%	28%	31%	53%	26%	27%	26%		19%	30%	31%	30%
income during	Private pension	53%	40%	38%	26%	24%	29%	26%	18%	20%	15%	14%	62%	10%	14%	19%	33%
retirement	Savings	5%	24%	5%	17%	12%	9%	1%	7%	10%	8%	13%	30%		23%	7%	8%
	From main residence	3%		8%	2%	15%	11%	1%	1%	8%	33%	17%		35%	10%	4%	13%
	From another property	2%	7%	7%	7%	12%	5%	4%	2%	17%	5%	2%		14%	12%	6%	10%
	From business	5%	20%	9%	10%	7%	8%	15%	4%	2%	5%	2%		17%	2%	7%	
	From family / partner etc.	2%	6%	6%	1%	3%	1%	0%	9%	16%	3%	22%	8%		8%	26%	3%
	Other (includes work, benefits, other savings)	6%	2%	6%	7%	13%	9%	21%	7%	1%	3%	5%		6%	1%		2%
Saving enough	Yes	36%	84%	32%	34%	26%	30%	12%	13%	41%	9%	22%	65%	23%	34%	47%	32%
for retirement	No	56%	12%	56%	62%	69%	60%	78%	84%	52%	88%	67%	35%	70%	66%	46%	68%
	Don't Know	7%	3%	12%	4%	5%	10%	10%	3%	7%	4%	11%		6%		8%	

Table 1.3c: Generation X clustering, motives for current savings

	cheration A chastering, me				Boomers	, male			Baby Boomers, female								
Reason for saving	For unexpected expenditures or rainy day	63%	29%	59%	55%	67%	61%	54%	53%	49%	48%	87%	36%	70%	56%	71%	70%
	For other family members (including for gifts or inheritance)	26%	35%	22%	25%	18%	12%	5%	18%	20%	9%	19%	49%	32%	14%	46%	25%
	To provide a regular income over the next 12 months	9%	35%	10%	8%	5%	10%	18%	24%	6%	5%		19%		35%	10%	2%
	To provide income for retirement	34%	67%	59%	36%	27%	35%	25%	13%	55%	14%	45%	52%	8%	39%	39%	28%
	To cover a planned expense in the future	32%	30%	18%	29%	30%	24%	11%	27%	37%	24%	25%	41%	28%	44%	34%	34%
	For a deposit to buy property	0%	12%	5%	5%	1%	4%	7%	16%			8%	8%	4%		1%	
	For holidays or other leisure recreation	57%	42%	46%	46%	34%	34%	28%	25%	61%	40%	33%	52%	91%	36%	55%	38%
	To see my money grow or good interest rates or speculation	19%	38%	17%	16%	11%	13%		9%	28%	9%	7%	34%	21%	26%	21%	4%
	Do not, spend all of income	15%	11%	7%	18%	9%	11%	6%		18%	16%	4%	17%	12%	9%	17%	10%
	Other	4%	6%	2%	1%	7%	7%	3%			2%	8%			3%	1%	4%
Reason for not	Want to pay off debts first	9%	11%	22%	12%	22%	21%	14%	18%	8%	29%	30%		25%	13%	5%	6%
saving	Have not thought about it	8%		6%	7%	9%		3%	3%	7%	6%	10%			7%	8%	0%
	Do not need to save	15%	40%	10%	13%	4%	8%	1%	4%	8%		9%			20%	17%	21%
	Too late to start saving	2%			2%	3%	1%	2%	7%						7%	1%	9%
	Would lose out on benefits							1%									
	Have an offset mortgage	1%		4%		2%	1%					1%					
	Cannot afford to	55%		55%	63%	64%	60%	79%	79%	64%	65%	51%	50%	49%	45%	52%	51%
	Intended to, but debts too high	12%		2%	4%	4%	3%	10%	13%	6%	4%	8%					
	Other	6%	50%	15%	12%	6%	10%	7%	4%	19%	11%	10%	28%	23%	17%	22%	25%
	Do not know	2%				2%	1%	1%					23%	5%			

- The wealthiest men (cluster 2) are people who may have reduced to working part-time. They are less likely to save for unexpected expenditures, as they have accumulated wealth available.
- Men who work part-time (clusters 1 & 2) are higher educated and likely to be more recent entrants to self-employment, potentially tapering into retirement.
- The choice of variables used to perform the analysis has had the effect that women who do not believe in pensions have tended to be grouped around their expected sources of income in retirement:
  - E.g. cluster 1 around the State Pension, cluster 8 around family support

# Chapter two: comparing the self-employed population to the automatic enrolment thresholds

The self-employed population was compared to the current automatic enrolment thresholds.

That is annual earnings of at least £10,000 and aged between 22 and State Pension age (SPa).

Similar patterns are observed between the datasets; however there is a significant gap between the population that can be assessed within the datasets and the entire self-employed population.

#### The self-employed who meet the eligibility thresholds

#### Labour Force Survey data

The Labour Force Survey (LFS) data does not include earnings amounts for the self-employed. However, the data is the most up to date assessment of the number of self-employed, with 4.6 million self-employed individuals meeting the age criteria [Table 2.1].

Table 2.1, Number of self-employed aged between 22 and SPa<sup>10</sup>

		Gen	Total	
		Men	Women	
ion	Millennials	600,000	300,000	1,000,000
Generation	Generation X	1,200,000	700,000	1,800,000
Ger	Baby Boomers	1,300,000	600,000	1,800,000
	Total	3,100,000	1,600,000	4,600,000

Data from other sources demonstrates a clear gap between the datasets.

#### Family Resources Survey data

Data from the Family Resources Survey (FRS) is not as current (2015-16). There is an effective gap of around 1 million individuals to the current level of self-employment, made up of:

- 100,000 net increase in the number of self-employed since the FRS data.
- 900,000 individuals who are:
  - Omitted by the weighting;
  - The recorded data is inadequate (generally missing income data).

It is not possible to assess whether these individuals would meet the criteria. The age criteria has been taken from age 20 to SPa, rather than from age 22 as this coincides with the age banding in the dataset. This will lead to a slight understatement of those who are ineligible due to being too young.

Of the 3.95 million individuals, 2.3 million meet the criteria. The rate of eligibility is higher amongst men than women [Table 2.2].

Table 2.2, Number of self-employed meeting eligibility criteria<sup>11</sup>

	Ger	Total	
	Men	Women	
Eligible	1,741,000	556,000	2,298,000
Ineligible	930,000	725,000	1,655,000
Total	2,672,000	1,281,000	3,953,000
Eligibility rate	65%	43%	58%

Of the eligible individuals, 58% earn between £10,000 and £25,000 [Table 2.3].

Table 2.3, Number of self-employed aged between 20 and SPa, earning at least £ $10,000^{12}$ 

210,000		Total		
Earnings band (£s)	Millennials	Generation X	Baby Boomers	
10,000 - 15,000	123,000	212,000	235,000	569,000
15,000 - 20,000	107,000	155,000	150,000	412,000
20,000 - 25,000	95,000	126,000	130,000	351,000
25,000 - 30,000	51,000	89,000	106,000	246,000
30,000 - 35,000	32,000	61,000	29,000	123,000
35,000 - 40,000	42,000	54,000	43,000	139,000
40,000 - 45,000	11,000	51,000	22,000	84,000
More than 45,000	67,000	155,000	151,000	373,000
Total	528,000	904,000	866,000	2,298,000

#### Wealth and Assets Survey data

Data from the Wealth and Assets Survey (WAS) as used in cluster analysis covers the survey period of 2012-14. There is an effective gap of around 1.5 million individuals to the current level of self-employment, made up of:

- 500,000 net increase in the number of self-employed since the FRS data
- 900,000 individuals who are:
  - Omitted by the weighting;
  - ➤ The recorded data is inadequate (generally missing income data).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> PPI analysis of Family Resources Survey (DWP, 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> PPI analysis of Family Resources Survey (DWP, 2016)

It is not possible to assess whether these individuals would meet the criteria. The age criteria has been taken from age 20 to SPa, rather than from age 22 as this coincides with the age banding in the dataset. This will lead to a slight understatement of those who are ineligible due to being too young.

Of the 3.37 million individuals, 1.59 million meet the criteria. The rate of eligibility is higher amongst men than women [Table 2.4]. The WAS dataset shows a lower rate of eligibility than FRS for both men and women.

Table 2.4, Number of self-employed meeting eligibility criteria<sup>13</sup>

	Ger	ıder	Total
	Men	Women	
Eligible	1,372,000	405,000	1,778,000
Ineligible	950,000	638,000	1,588,000
Total	2,323,000	1,043,000	3,366,000
Eligibility rate	59%	39%	53%

Of the eligible individuals, 60% earn between £10,000 and £25,000 [Table 2.5]. The distributions of income within the two datasets are similar and reflect that many individuals do not meet the eligibility due to low earnings.

Table 2.5, Number of self-employed aged between 20 and SPa, earning at least £10,000 $^{14}$ 

,		Total		
Earnings band (£s)	Millennials	Generation X	Baby Boomers	
10,000 - 15,000	130,000	153,000	170,000	453,000
15,000 - 20,000	98,000	134,000	94,000	327,000
20,000 - 25,000	77,000	142,000	76,000	295,000
25,000 - 30,000	32,000	77,000	51,000	161,000
30,000 - 35,000	40,000	62,000	71,000	172,000
35,000 - 40,000	4,000	40,000	27,000	70,000
40,000 - 45,000	6,000	43,000	22,000	72,000
More than 45,000	31,000	97,000	98,000	227,000
Total	419,000	749,000	610,000	1,778,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> PPI analysis of Wealth and Assets Survey (ONS, 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> PPI analysis of Wealth and Assets Survey (ONS, 2016)

#### The self-employed who do not meet the eligibility thresholds

#### Labour Force Survey data

Of the 1,071,000 self-employed individuals who fall outside of the eligible age band, only 78,000 are under 22 years old. The other 992,000 individuals are working as self-employed beyond State Pension age.

#### Family Resources Survey data

Within the FRS dataset 1,655,000 self-employed individuals are identified as not meeting the eligibility criteria. This represents 42% of the self-employed population where there is adequate information to assess eligibility. The criteria which excludes most is the earnings criteria:

- Of the 1,655,000 individuals who do not meet the criteria:
  - 199,000 are excluded by only the age criteria;
  - ➤ 1,279,000 are excluded by only the earnings criteria;
  - > 177,000 are excluded by both age and earnings criteria.

#### Wealth and Assets Survey data

Within the WAS dataset 1,588,000 self-employed individuals are identified as not meeting the eligibility criteria. This represents 47% of the self-employed population where there is adequate information to assess eligibility. The criteria which excludes most is the earnings criteria:

- Of the 1,588,000 individuals who do not meet the criteria:
  - > 198,000 are excluded by only the age criteria;
  - > 1,239,000 are excluded by only the earnings criteria;
  - > 150,000 are excluded by both age and earnings criteria.

# **Acknowledgements and Contact Details**

Editing decisions remained with the author who takes responsibility for any remaining errors or omissions.

The Pensions Policy Institute is an educational charity promoting the study of retirement income provision through research, analysis, discussion and publication. The PPI takes an independent view across the entire pensions system.

The PPI is funded by donations, grants and benefits-in-kind from a range of organisations, as well as being commissioned for research projects. To learn more about the PPI, see: www.pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk

© Pensions Policy Institute, 2018

Contact: Chris Curry, Director Telephone: 020 7848 3744

Email: info@pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk

Pensions Policy Institute King's College London Virginia Woolf Building

1st Floor, 22 Kingsway London WC2B 6LE

#### The PPI is grateful for the continuing support of its Supporting Members:

#### Platinum

LV= The Pensions Regulator

#### Gold

AXA Investment Managers Capita Employee Benefits

DWP Hymans Robertson
Intelligent Pensions Legal and General

MFS Investment Management NEST

Scottish Widows/Lloyds Standard Life Group

The People's Pension Xafinity

#### **Long standing Silver**

Age UK Aon Hewitt
ABI Aviva

Barnett Waddingham BP Pension Trustees Ltd

Cardano Exxon Mobil

Law Debenture MNOPF Trustees Ltd PLSA Prudential UK & Europe

RPMI Royal London
Sacker and Partners Schroders
Shell CII/TPFS

USS

A full list of Supporting Members is on the PPI's website.

# References

Department for Work and Pensions, National Centre for Social Research, Office for National Statistics. Social and Vital Statistics Division. (2017). Family Resources Survey, 2015-2016. [data collection]. UK Data Service. SN: 8171, http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-8171-1

GOV.UK (2017) Review of automatic enrolment - initial questions

IBM Knowledge Center TwoStep Cluster Analysis

Office for National Statistics. Social Survey Division, Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency. Central Survey Unit. (2017). *Quarterly Labour Force Survey, January - March, 2017. [data collection]. 2nd Edition. UK Data Service. SN: 8195, http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-8195-2* 

Office for National Statistics. Social Survey Division. (2016). Wealth and Assets Survey, Waves 1-4, 2006-2014. [data collection]. 5th Edition. UK Data Service. SN: 7215, http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-7215-5 Office for National Statistics (2017) Labour Force Survey to Q1 2017

Pensions Policy Institute (2017) Policies for increasing long-term saving of the self-employed

Published by PENSIONS POLICY INSTITUTE

PI

www.pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk 978-1-906284-52-16