

PPI Briefing Note Number 87 (PhD Series No 1)

Page 1

The Pensions Policy Institute (PPI) funds and supports a number of PhD students researching into areas of distinct policy relevance to pensions in the UK. The PhD Briefing Note Series has been set up to allow analysis undertaken as part of the PhD to be fed into public debate and reach a wider audience than would normally be the case, and to encourage researchers to consider the policy implication of their findings.

Connecting policy with the personal: UK pension reforms and individual financial decision making

The ESRC is funding a 3 year PhD studentship in a collaboration between Manchester University and the PPI. The study will examine employee responses to recent pension reforms in the UK. The research will inform our understanding of real-life financial decision making in an increasingly individualised system where people are expected to take high levels of responsibility for their own financial welfare in later life. This collaboration offers exceptional insight into how the work of researchers feeds into policymaking and policy impact, including how evidence is utilised by key actors such as Members of Parliament, government officials and other stakeholders.

Hayley James is a PhD student at The University of Manchester Institute for Collaborative Research on Ageing (MICRA). Her Thesis looks at the impact of automatic enrolment into workplace pensions on individual decision making, based on qualitative research methodology. Hayley has a background in Economic Anthropology, having previously completed research on community currencies in London. Her research interests concern anthropological perspectives on money and value, and how meaning is created through these tools.

Introduction

This briefing notes considers what the value of savings, in order to ployers had completed the autois known about the impact of the reduce the burden of funding enrolment process.2 In this periintroduction of auto-enrolment for state pensions in the long od, around 6.5m eligible workers into workplace schemes on pen-term.1 sions saving in the UK so far, as at the end of 2016.

Auto-enrolment means that em- tions, and opt-out rates in workployers are obligated to automati- place pensions, since the introcally enrol workers into a qualify- duction of auto-enrolment in the ing pension scheme. Employees UK. are able to opt out from the scheme at any point, but they will Background be re-enrolled every three years. Auto-enrolment was phased in Eligibility for the policy covers all from October 2012, starting with employees aged between 22 and large and then medium sized the State Pension Age and earning businesses. Smaller organisaover £10,000 per year. The aim of tions (those with fewer than 50 the policy is to encourage more employees) were phased in from private pension saving by increas- 2016. By 31st July 2016, 206,137

ing the number of savers and large, medium and small em-

This note will examine the number of participants, the contribu-

have been newly enrolled.2 Additionally, more than 9.5m ployees were found to be already members of workplace sions.1,3

At September 2016, it is estimated that there were still 1 million small or new employers (who came into existence after October 2012) who will still go through the auto-enrolment process before February 2018, with around 5 million eligible workers to be auto-enrolled.1,2,4

The policy set a value of total minimum contributions for autoenrolment at 8% on band earn-

PPI Briefing Notes clarify topical issues in pensions policy.



PPI Briefing Note Number 87 (PhD Series No 1)

Page 2

auto-enrolment in future.

note will examine the number of increased by three percentage low amongst these groups. In people participating in pensions points to 91%,3 while total public 2015, just 18.5% of full-time since the introduction of auto-sector employment fell by 10%.8 enrolment.

Number of participants

number of people participating in self-employed, unemployed or for auto-enrolment.¹¹ Pension

workplace pensions. By Sep-2015, tember the proportion of all workers enrolled in a private workplace pension scheme reached 66%.3,5 This represents the highest proportion since the last peak pension coverage in the 1967 when 53% of workers were members of a private or occupational pension.6


ings, comprised of 4% employee By April 2015, 75% of eligible em- economically inactive) or not contribution, 3% employer contri- ployees were saving into a work- meeting the criteria of bution and 1% government tax place pension, an increase of 20 scheme regarding earnings and relief on the contributions. This is percentage points since the intro- age. 9,10 In 2015, 5.3m employees being phased in by 2019. Until duction of auto-enrolment.³ The had been found to be ineligible then, total contributions are 2% of majority of new participants work for auto-enrolment based on the qualifying earnings until April in the private sector, where the criteria of the scheme.9 Analysis 2018, increasing to 5% until April proportion of participating eligible from the PPI suggested that a 2019, before rising to the full 8%. It workers increased by 28 percent- further 4.5m self-employed peois anticipated that the rising con- age points to 70% in 2015.^{3,7} This is ple do not meet the criteria for tributions may affect the number greater than the increase in overall auto-enrolment, as shown in of participants and opt-outs under employment, which grew from Chart 1.9 These people may save 70.5% in January 2012 to 73.4% in in a pension independently of December 2014.^{7,8} In the same peri- auto-enrolment. However, pen-The first section of this briefing od, public sector participation has sion participation tends to be

However, many people are ineligi- were participating in a pension ble for auto-enrolment, either scheme, with £192 a week being Auto-enrolment has increased the through not being employed (i.e. the cut-off point for eligibility

workers with between £100 and £200 gross earnings per week

Chart 1: Half of working age people are eligible for automatic enrolment

Total working age population (age 16 to 64) UK, 2015 by different categories





PPI Briefing Note Number 87 (PhD Series No 1)

Page 3

participation amongst the selfemployed was around 17% in 2014.1,9,12

A previous briefing note by the groups are driven by employees outcomes will therefore be explic-PPI explored who is ineligible for not meeting the earnings thresh- itly dependent on the value of auto-enrolment and found that old.⁴ These groups are also contributions made. women, minority groups, people among those who are most likely with disabilities and carers are less to have many of the other 'alarm Workplace pension savings are likely to meet the eligibility crite- bell' characteristics that are asso- expected to increase by £17bn by ria, as are people who work for ciated with lower pension in- 2019/20.4 Since 2012, total contrismall employers or in service in- comes, part-time working and butions in workplace pensions dustries:9

- to 16% of male workers.
- 33% of Bangladeshi workers workers.
- ria for automatic enrolment, tions. compared to 23% of disability-free employed people.
- Of those working for smaller **butions** automatic enrolment.
- and 90% for those employed has increased the total number of it is not known if the contribu-

in other sectors.

crepancies in eligibility between the majority of savers, pension 32% of Pakistani workers, pendent on state funding.¹³

Caribbean workers do not a positive first step but may not separately. meet the qualifying criteria, ensure pension adequacy if par-

Employee and employer contri-

active DC savers to 12.3 million, compared to 1.5 million active DWP analysis suggests these dis- defined DB savers in 2015.2 For

periods of unemployment or inac- increased from £73.7bn to £80.3bn Around 4m (32%) female tivity. 9,13 This means that auto- in 2014. 1,17 However, this repreworkers do not meet the enrolment may not improve pen- sents a decrease per participating qualifying criteria for auto- sion outcomes for groups who saver from £6,885 in 2012 to matic enrolment, compared already have a high risk of be-£5,776 in 2014.17 Total contribucoming under-pensioned and de-tions into workplace pensions are made up of employee, employer pensions and tax relief, and these and 29% of Black/African/ For those eligible, participation is three items will be considered

compared to 23% of white ticipants make low levels of con- First, total employee contributributions.^{9,14} Therefore, this brief- tions into workplace pensions 30% of disabled workers and ing note next considers the im- grew from £19.8bn in 2012 to 81% of employed carers do pact of auto-enrolment on em-£24.2bn in 2014.17,18 This equates not meet the qualifying crite- ployee and employer contribu- to a reduction per participating saver from £1850 in 2012 to £1741 in 2014,17 which suggests that the increase in total saving has been primarily driven by the increase employers, with 10 or fewer Auto-enrolment has furthered a in numbers of savers. As shown employees, only 61% meet pre-existing trend towards de- in Chart 2,2 median employee the qualifying criteria for fined contribution (DC) pension contribution rates in DC schemes automatic enrolment, while schemes, and away from defined have decreased to 1% for group 90% of employees working benefit (DB) schemes. Before personal pensions and 2.4% for for larger employers, with 2012, there were 1.4 million active DC trusts from 2012 to 2014.2 This 500 or more employees, meet DB scheme members and around is a result of new joiners paying the qualifying criteria for 8 million DC scheme mem- just the minimum contributions. bers.^{15,16} Most people who have It is unclear how the phased in-Only 55% of people em- been auto-enrolled have joined a crease of minimum contributions ployed in the service sector DC scheme: 88% of those auto- up to 2019 will affect saving meet the qualifying criteria enrolled up to March 2015, and through auto-enrolment as more for automatic enrolment in 91% of those auto-enrolled from people may decide to opt-out at comparison to between 70% March 2015 to March 2016.2 This higher rates of contribution. Also,




PPI Briefing Note Number 87 (PhD Series No 1)

Page 4

Chart 3: Median employer contribution rates in DC schemes are decreasing

PENSIONS POLICY INSTITUTE

Median employer contributions to DC pensions by year



tions have either diverted saving from other savings vehicles, or effectively depressed wages.

Second, total employer contributions have increased in total from £47.5bn in 2012 to £48.1bn in 2014, but again this equates to a reduction per participating saver from £4437 in 2012 to £3560 in 2014.17 As shown in Chart 3,2 median employer contribution rates have also creased to 4% for group personal pensions and 3% for DC trusts from 2012 to 2014.2

Chart 2: Median employee contribution rates in DC schemes are decreasing

Median employee contribution rates to DC pensions by year



This is a result of new employers paying the minimum contributions level for newly enrolled employees.^{2,17} proportion workers experiencing some form of levelling down (where employers reduce contribution rates or other outcomes) rose slightly from 6% in 2012 to 8 per cent in 2014.17,18,19,20 In the Employers' Pension Provision Survey 2015, the majority of employers (72%) were planning to contribute just the minimum of 3 per cent.4,17



PPI Briefing Note Number 87 (PhD Series No 1)

Page 5

Total contributions to pension pension for most people.¹⁴ saving from tax relief increased 2014.17

low this path.

It is also possible that employees ple would do this. Yet even at to-enrolment. the increased levels, minimum contributions may enough to provide an adequate

the future.1,21

may continue to contribute at Finally, this note considers the opt

Opt-out rates

The opt-out rate for autofrom £6.3bn in 2012 to £8bn in Adequacy can be defined as the enrolment has been lower than the 2014, which equates to a de-extent to which individuals have a predicted 15%-20%, although curcrease per participating saver retirement income that allows rent estimates vary. The National from £589 in 2012 to £575 in them to replicate the standards of Audit Office has estimated that the living they had while in working opt-out rate is between 8 and 14%, life. Previous PPI research has based on evidence from NEST and Overall, there is a pattern of in- suggested that a minimum contri- participating employers. The DWP creasing total pension savings, bution level of 11% would be re- suggest that the overall opt-out but decreasing contributions per quired to achieve target income in rate sits around 9-10%, although saver. Employee and employer retirement for three-quarters of there are variations by age, workcontributions have anchored at people.¹⁴ This analysis was based ing pattern and gender, as shown the current minimum contribu- on starting saving at 22 and retir- in Table 1 below.²² Further analysis tion levels. It is unclear what ing at the state pension age which from the DWP suggests that optwill happen as these increase. As may not be the case for most peo- out rates vary by size of organisaa worst-case scenario, employ- ple.14 Therefore, some ambiguity tion. As shown in Chart 4,2 data ees may decide to opt-out as remains regarding the long-term from 2015 show that the smallest contributions rise. It is not clear effects of auto-enrolment on indi- employers have the highest opt-out how many people might be fol-vidual saving and whether this rate at 17%.2 This suggests that the will lead to pension adequacy in overall opt-out rate may rise as more smaller employees adopt auto-enrolment.

the minimum levels. It is possi- -out rates that have been regis- A quantitative study based on a ble to anticipate that most peo- tered since the introduction of au- sample of 50 employers by the DWP suggested that the presence of contractual enrolment before the introduction of auto-enrolment was a major factor in influencing

Table 1: Opt-out rates vary by characteristics

Age	Proportion opting out
< 30	7%
30 – 49	9%
≥ 50	23%

Working pattern	Proportion opting out
Full-time	10%
Part- time	18%

Gender	Proportion opt- ing out
All	12%
Women	14%

Based on study of 46 employers (2,600 automatically enrolled workers) by the Department for Work and Pensions (2014), Automatic enrolment opt-out rates: findings from qualitative research with employers staging in 2014 DWP, London.




PPI Briefing Note Number 87 (PhD Series No 1)

Page 6

Chart 4: Those working for the smallest employers have the highest opt out rate at 17%

PENSIONS POLICY INSTITUTE

Opt outs by employer size and scheme type (2015)



opt-out rates. Where there was al- A qualitative study from the DWP ready a contractual enrolment based on 50 employee interviews scheme in place, fewer people identified six types of opt-outs were auto-enrolled yet opt-out and suggested that most people • rates tended to be higher at took an active, conscious decision around 16% compared to the 9% to opt-out, based on their ability average found in businesses with- to afford the contributions, other out contractual enrolment.23,24

The DWP analysis also suggested search points to attitudinal factors that age was the main demograph- such as the presence of scepticism ic characteristic that influenced the about pension saving which may • opt-out rate, with opt-out rates for contribute to the opt-out decision. people over 50 between twenty- This aligns with previous PPI refive percent and fifty percent high- search which suggested that affecer than the rate for under 50s.^{23,24} tive and attitudinal factors such as This finding is also reflected in the trust and risk aptitude will influtable above.²² Gender, salary or job ence pension decision-making.²⁶ status were not found to be significant factors in the prevalence of These studies are unlikely to be While this presents a positive opt-outs, although they do impact representative since they covered start, the likelihood of the policy eligibility for auto-enrolment (see a small sample including employ- achieving adequacy for autopage 1).23,24

financial priorities, or having other provisions in place.25 The re-

ers and employers from various enrolled savers in the long-term industries, which may obscure and maintaining low opt-out

industry-specific trends. More research is needed to fully understand the driving factors for opt-outs, as it may become more important as creases in minimum contribution rates are phased in.

Summary

Since the introduction of automatic enrolment:

• 6.5m people have been autoenrolled and 66% of all workers are now participating in a workplace pension scheme, although

there are significant groups who are excluded from the auto-enrolment policy.

- Total contributions have increased but median contributions have decreased as employees and employers stick to the minimum levels. It is unclear what will happen as minimum contribution levels rise.
- Opt-out rates are lower than expected with estimates ranging from 8% - 14%, but the driving factors are not fully understood. This may become more important as minimum contribution levels increase.



PPI Briefing Note Number 87 (PhD Series No 1)

Page 7

rates in the short- and mediumterm is not clear. Those who are not participating, or participating only at minimum levels, risk having a less-than-adequate income to UK, March 2016. live on when they reach retirement 9. PPI (2015) Who is ineligible for autoage. As auto-enrolment is not yet matic enrolment? PPI Briefing Note Numfully rolled-out, there is a need for further research on these matters. This theme will be continued throughout this PhD series.

- 1. National Audit Office (2015) Automatic enrolment to workplace pensions.
- 2. PPI (2016) The Future Book: unravelling workplace pensions (2016 Edition).
- 3. The Pensions Regulator (2016) Automatic Enrolment Commentary and Analysis April 2014 - March 2015.
- 4. DWP (2016) Workplace pensions: Update of analysis on Automatic Enrolment
- 5. DWP (2015) Employers' Pension Provision survey 2015
- 6. Office for National Statistics (2005) Pension Trends, No. 1, 2005 edition. ONS:

London.

- 7. Office for National Statistics (2015) Automatic Enrolment evaluation report 2015. 8. Office for National Statistics (2016) Statistical bulletin: Public sector employment Pensions, 2005-2014,
- 10. DWP (2015) Workplace pensions: Update of analysis on Automatic Enrolment. 11. Office for National Statistics (2016) Statistical bulletin: Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings Pension Tables: 2015 Provisional and 2014 Revised Results.
- 12. New Policy Institute (2016) The selfemployed pension savings gap.
- 13. PPI (2016). The under-pensioned 2016. 14. PPI (2013) Automatic Enrolment Report 1: What level of pension contribution is needed to obtain an adequate retirement income?
- 15. The Pensions Regulator (2013) DC trust: a presentation of scheme return data
- 16. PPI (2012). The changing landscape of pension schemes in the private sector in
- 17. DWP (2015) Automatic Enrolment

evaluation report 2015

- 18. Office for National Statistics (2015) Employees Eligible for Automatic Enrolment: Contributions to Workplace
- 19. The Pensions Regulator (2016) Automatic Enrolment Compliance and enforcement Quarterly bulletin 1 October -31 December 2015.
- 20. PPI (2014) Automatic Enrolment report 3: How will automatic enrolment affect pension saving?
- 21. PPI (2015) Automatic Enrolment Contribution Scenarios post 2017.
- 22. DWP (2014) Automatic enrolment opt-out rates: findings from qualitative research with employers staging in 2014 DWP, London.
- 23. DWP (2013) Automatic enrolment opt out rates: findings from research with large employers.
- 24. DWP (2013) Automatic enrolment: Qualitative research with large employ-
- 25. DWP (2014) Automatic enrolment: experiences of workers who have opted
- 26. PPI (2014) How complex are the decisions that pension savers need to make at retirement?

The Manchester Institute for Collaborative Research on Ageing (MICRA) is a leading research centre carrying out multidisciplinary research into fundamental questions about ageing and society. Ageing research is a strategic priority for the University of Manchester, as part of its commitment to social, economic and cultural impact. Funders for ageing research at UoM include the European Union, UK Research Councils, Government, the Big Lottery, industry, NGOs and the charity sector. MICRA engages critically with stakeholders and policy makers at all levels to deliver research with

With thanks to the *Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC)*, the UK's leading research and training agency addressing economic and social concerns, for funding this 3 year PhD studentship. Grant number ES/J500094/1.

MANCHESTER

The University of Manchester Institute for Collaborative Research on Ageing



For more information on this topic, please contact:

Hayley James

020 7848 3744 hayley@pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk www.pensionspolicyinstitute.org.uk